



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,041	04/08/2004	Kiran V. Chatty	BUR920030188US1	3040

42221 7590 11/29/2005

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
IBM MICROELECTRONICS DIVISION
1990 M STREET NW, SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425

EXAMINER

WARREN, MATTHEW E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2815

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/709,041

Applicant(s)

CHATTY ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew E. Warren

Art Unit

2815

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04, 6/15/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, "an P-well" should read "a P-well."

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Nto" should read "NMOSFET to."

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 10, "1/0" should read "I/O."

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: "to substrate contact" should read "to said substrate contact."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In re claim 9, the specification and drawings do not show support for the limitation that the "N-band of N doped semiconductor material separates said N-well from said substrate. It is understood from the specification and drawings (see figure 4) that the N-band (40A and 40b) of N doped semiconductor material separates the P-well from the substrate. Therefore, for purposes of examination, it will be understood that the "N-band of N doped semiconductor material separates said P-well from said substrate"

In re claim 11, the specification and drawings do not show support for the limitation that "one of said N-wells is connected to a substrate contact." For instance, in figure 4, neither of the N-wells (37 or 38) is connected to any of the substrate contacts (44 or 50) (but N-well 37 is connected to voltage Vdd). For purposes of examination, the limitation will be understood to mean that "one of said N-wells is connected to a voltage" as is shown in figure 4 of the applicant's specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2B (APAF) in view of Ker et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122280 A1).

In re claim 1, the APAF 2B shows an ESD NMOSFET comprising : a substrate having first (37), second (31) and third wells (38) formed in said substrate, and separated by shallow well isolation regions (33 and 34), said first and third wells connected along a bottom thereof with a conductive band region (40) generally separating the bottom of said second well from said substrate; a source (25) and drain (26) region in said second well forming an FET, said drain being connected to an I/O pad (11) for protecting said pad against an ESD event; and a path of substrate material to increase the substrate resistance in the path of the current which flows through said I/O pad to a substrate contact (30) and drain (26) during an ESD event. The APAF shows all of the elements of the claims except the path of substrate material extending through an opening in the conductive band region. Ker et al. shows (fig. 5) an ESD protection device having first (60), second (42), and third (40) wells formed in a substrate. The first and third wells are connected along a bottom with a conductive band region (3201 and 3202). The conductive band region has an opening through which a path of the substrate material extends through it. With this configuration, the p-

Art Unit: 2815

well is partially connected to the substrate, the resistance is increased in that region, and the device is turned on more quickly [0047]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conductive band region of the APAF by forming an opening in the conductive band as taught by Ker to increase the resistance in the path between the p-well and the common substrate, which would ultimately increase the trigger speed of the device.

In re claim 2, Ker shows (fig. 5) that said substrate has a contact (p+ region connected to P-well 36) which is outside of said first, second and third wells.

In re claim 3, the APAF 2B shows that said first and third wells are N-wells and said conductive band region comprises a semiconductor region which is N doped.

In re claim 4, Ker shows (fig. 5) that said conductive band region is segmented forming the resistive path to said substrate.

In re claim 5, the APAF 2B shows that said FET has a gate (27) connection and source (25) connected to said substrate contact (30).

In re claim 6, the combined references inherently show that said drain is connected through a matching impedance to said I/O pad to provide a signal from a circuit on said substrate to said I/O pad because the structure and materials are the same as the instant invention.

Claims 7, 8, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2B (APAF) in view of Ker et al. (US 6,566,715 B1).

In re claim 7, the APAF 2B discloses [0024-0025] a method for decreasing the trigger voltage of an ESD NMOSFET comprising: locating said ESD NMOSFET in a well of a triple well CMOS structure, and connecting said ESD NFET to an I/O pad (11); and providing a resistive path (29) from said first well to a substrate contact (30), whereby the trigger voltage of the said ESD NMOSFET is reduced due to said resistive path between said substrate contact and said I/O pad. The APAF shows all of the elements of the claims except the contact being located outside of the triple well structure. Ker et al. discloses (col. 4, lines 6-50 and fig. 5B) a method for improving ESD protection by providing a resistive path (32') from the well of the MOS structure to a contact (46) formed outside one of the N-wells (44) of the device. With this configuration, the resistance in the conductive path is increase, ultimately improving the ESD performance (col. 4, lines 44-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the resistive path of the APAF by providing a longer path around one of the N-wells of the triple well structure as taught by Ker to increase the resistance and ultimately improve the ESD performance.

In re claim 8, Ker et al. shows (fig. 5 B) that said resistive path is an opening in said well to form a connection between said NMOSFET and said substrate contact.

In re claim 10, the APAF 2B discloses that said ESD NMOSFET further comprises connecting a gate connection and a source of said NMOSFET contact to said substrate.

In re claim 11, as far as understood, the APAF 2B shows that a second and third well of said triple well structure are N-Wells, and one of said N-wells is connected to a voltage (Vdd).

In re claim 12, the APAF 2B discloses that the method of connecting a gate of said NMOSFET to said substrate contact.

Claim 9, as far as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2B (APAF) in view of Ker et al. (US 6,566,715 B1) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Ker et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122280 A1).

In re claim 9, the APAF and Ker '715 show all of the elements of the claims except the said well being a P-well with an N-band of N doped semiconductor material which separates said P-well from said substrate, and which includes an opening forming said resistive path. Ker et al. (Pub. '280) shows (fig. 5) an ESD protection device having first (60), second (42), and third (40) wells formed in a substrate. The first and third wells are connected along a bottom with a conductive band region (3201 and 3202). The conductive band region has an opening through which a path of the substrate material extends through it. With this configuration, the p-well is partially connected to the substrate, the resistance is increased in that region, and the device is turned on more quickly [0047]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conductive band region of the APAF and Ker '715 by forming an opening in the conductive band as taught by

Ker "280 to increase the resistance in the path between the p-well and the common substrate, which would ultimately increase the trigger speed of the device.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2B (APAF) in view of Ker et al. (US Pub. 2002/0122280 A1).

In re claim 13, the APAF 2B shows an ESD NMOSFET comprising: a substrate having first (31), second (37) and third wells (38) formed in said substrate, said first well comprising a P-well (31) separated from second and third N-wells by shallow well isolation regions (33 and 34), said first well separated from said substrate along a bottom thereof with a conductive band region (40); a substrate contact (30); a source (25) and drain (26) region in said P-well forming a FET, said drain being connected to an I/O pad (11) for protecting said pad against an ESD event; and a resistive path (29) extending through the P-well which decreases the trigger voltage for the FET. The APAF shows all of the elements of the claims except the substrate contact outside of the first, second and third wells, and the resistive path extending through an opening in the conductive band region. Ker et al. shows (fig. 5) an ESD protection device having first (60), second (42), and third (40) wells formed in a substrate. The first and third wells are connected along a bottom with a conductive band region (3201 and 3202) and separate the first P-well from the substrate. The conductive band region has an opening through which a path of the substrate material extends through it. A substrate contact (p+ region connected to P-well 36) is formed outside of the first, second, and third wells.

Art Unit: 2815

With this configuration, the p-well is partially connected to the substrate, the resistance is increased in that region, and the device is turned on more quickly [0047]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conductive band region of the APAF by forming an opening in the conductive band as taught by Ker to increase the resistance in the path between the p-well and the common substrate, which would ultimately increase the trigger speed of the device.

In re claim 14, the APAF 2B shows that said FET source (25) and gate (27) are connected to the substrate contact (30).

In re claim 15, the APAF 2B shows that said source (25) is connected to said substrate contact (30).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dobkin (US 3,886,001) also shows an N-band of doped conductive material having an opening formed in it to provide a substrate resistor.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEW
MEW
November 22, 2005


SPE Kenneth Parker
TC2800